	ATES DISTRIC DISTRICT OF		
Diego Di	ilan Rodriguez (Ordonez,	:
		Petitioner,	
-against-			ORDER REGULATING PROCEEDINGS
Chad Wolf, et al.			: 20 Civ. 1727 (AKH)
		Respondents.	
	ELLERSTEIN	, UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT JUDGE: F No. 37, puts forth arguments relating to his alleged
obesity and h			entioned in his amended habeas petition, see ECF No. 28.
These novel	contentions wil	l not be considered	at tomorrow's telephonic oral argument. See, e.g., Melo
v. United Sta	tes, 825 F.Supp	o.2d 457, 464 (S.D.N	N.Y. 2011) (noting that arguments raised for the first time
in a reply bri	ef are waived).	The hearing will f	ocus only on issues raised in the amended petition. In the
event Petition	ner would like t	o amend his habeas	petition for a second time after tomorrow's argument, he
must make a	motion to that	effect.	
	SO ORDER	ED.	
Dated:	New York, 2 April 21, 20		
			/s/

ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN, U.S.D.J.